



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/611,537 07/01/2003 Claudiu D. Pruteanu 20030168.ORI 4701 **EXAMINER** 23595 01/11/2006 NIKOLAI & MERSEREAU, P.A. FOX, CHARLES A 900 SECOND AVENUE SOUTH ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER **SUITE 820** MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402 3652

DATE MAILED: 01/11/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address → Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the previous of 37 CPR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely field atter 31K; 9(3) MONTHS from the milling date of the communication. Extensions of time may be available under the previous of 37 CPR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply the timely field atter 31K; 9(3) MONTHS from the milling date of the communication. Extensions of time may be available under the previous of 37 CPR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply the timely field safets 31K; 9(3) MONTHS from the milling date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set of extended peaced for reply will, by statulus, cause the application to become ARANDONEO (35 U.S.C. § 1.33). Any reply received by the Office then that them cention after the milling date of the communication, even if timely flied, may reduce any extended peaced them adjustment. Set 37 CPR 1.73(a). Status 1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filled on 24 October 2005. 2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This action is non-final. 3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) □ Claim(s) 25-28.31,32.34-36.38,39,49-49 and 53-57 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) □ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5 □ Claim(s) 25-28.31,32.34-36.38 and 43-47 is/are rejected. 7 □ □ Claim(s) 25-28.31,32.34-36.38 and 43-47 is/are rejected. 7 □ □ The caterior of the proving the communication of the proving the commun			Application	on No.	Applicant(s)		
Charles A. Fox The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of ime may be available under the proximos of 30 761 1.33(a). In no overn, however, may a reply be timely filed after 5X (6) MONTHS from the reliating date of this communication. If NO period to reply is spacified under the proximos of 30 761 1.33(a). In no overn, the timely filed on the 5X (6) MONTHS from the reliating date of this communication. If NO period to reply is spacified under the maining date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) A Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 October 2005. 2a This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is rinked this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 25-28.31.32.34-36.38.39.43-49 and 53-57 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s)	Office Action Summary		10/611,53	37	PRUTEANU ET AL.		
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of thire may be available under the provisions of 37 CR1 1/38(a). In a event, however, may a reply be briefly field after 51k (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peniod will apply and will expire 3X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peniod will apply and will expire 3X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peniod will expire 3X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period the provision of the communication is provided above, the maximum statutory peniod will expire 3X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Any reply received by this Officia later than three months and the remailing calls of this communication, even if through fleet, may reduce any search patients. Provided the provision of the provision of the communication is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 25-28.31.32,34-36.38.39.43-49 and 53-57 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s)			Examiner		Art Unit		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely fixed and soft St.6 (b) MONTH's from the mailing date for sommunication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply valid. by stantiar, cause the application to become ABANDONED (38 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office after than there months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) ☑ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 October 2005. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☑ Claim(s) 25-28.31.32.34-36.38.39.43-49 and 53-57 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☑ Claim(s) 35.36.48 and 53-57 is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 35.36.48 and 53-57 is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 35.36.48 and 53-57 is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 25-28.31.32.34.38.39 and 43-47 is/are rejected. 71 ☑ Claim(s) 49 is/are objected to. Application Papers 9) ☐ The prawing(s) filed on 28 June 2004 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d 11) ☐ The orath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or			Charles A	. Fox	3652		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be waited under the provisions of 37 CFR 11364. In no event, may a reply be timely filed after 50 k(6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The status of the may be subjected to be contrained to the status of the contrained of the status of the contrained provided provid	Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicati	on appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	Iress	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 October 2005. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 25-28,31,32,34-36,38,39,43-49 and 53-57 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 35.36,48 and 53-57 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 25-28,31,32,34,38,39 and 43-47 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 49 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 49 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) — are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 28 June 2004 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	A SHO WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failur Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILI sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 (SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory te to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be eply received by the Office later than three months after the	ING DATE OF TH CFR 1.136(a). In no evention. by period will apply and will by statute, cause the app	IIS COMMUNICATION ent, however, may a reply be tim Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a fication to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this cor D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,	
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 25-28,31,32,34-36,38,39,43-49 and 53-57 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 35,36,48 and 53-57 is/are allowed. 5) Claim(s) 35,36,48 and 53-57 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 49 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 49 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 49 is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 28 June 2004 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Status						
4) Claim(s) 25-28.31,32,34-36.38,39,43-49 and 53-57 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 35,36.48 and 53-57 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 25-28.31,32,34,38,39 and 43-47 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 49 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 28 June 2004 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	2a) <u></u> 3)□	This action is FINAL . 2b) Since this application is in condition for a	☑ This action is nallowance except	- on-final. for formal matters, pro		merits is	
4) Claim(s) 25-28.31,32,34-36.38,39,43-49 and 53-57 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 35,36.48 and 53-57 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 25-28.31,32,34,38,39 and 43-47 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 49 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 28 June 2004 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Dispositi	on of Claims					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 28 June 2004 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ⊠ Claim(s) 35,36,48 and 53-57 is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 25-28,31,32,34,38,39 and 43-47 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 49 is/are objected to. 						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 28 June 2004 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Applicati	on Papers					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 	10) 🖾 -	The drawing(s) filed on <u>28 June 2004</u> is/a Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	are: a)⊠ accepte to the drawing(s) b correction is require	e held in abeyance. See ed if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFF	` '	
 a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 	Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
Attachment(s)	 a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:	1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO		Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	ate	-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/611,537 Page 2

Art Unit: 3652

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 25-28,31 and 44-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Duell et al. in view of Gollnick. Regarding claim 25 Duell et al. US 6,123,497 teaches a refuse collection vehicle comprising:

- a hollow refuse storage enclosure (32) with a forward refuse receiving opening and a tailgate(45);
- a generally full width charging hopper (34) located forward of said storage enclosure;
- a packer-ejector panel (50) disposed in said hopper and capable of moving material from said hopper to said storage enclosure as well as ejecting material through said tail gate;
 - a front loading handling device for depositing refuse into said hopper;
- a control system for controlling the packer plate in relation to the front loading device;

wherein the control system allows for a variety of packer stroke cycles and the packer plate always returns to its home position prior to the handling device dumping refuse into said hopper. Duell et al. do not teach the packer plate as being followerless.

Gollnick US 4,091,944 teaches a refuse collection vehicle comprising:

Art Unit: 3652

a collection/ storage compartment (13) for compacting refuse;

a front loading device (20) for emptying a container into said collection/ storage compartment;

a followerless packer plate (16) located within said collection/ storage compartment; wherein said packer plate is used to compact and eject refuse in and from said collection/ storage compartment;

a rear door for closing said collection/ storage compartment and allowing said refuse to be ejected;

controls for coordinating the dumping of a container with opening of top doors (14a) and packing the refuse within the collection/ storage compartment. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of invention to provide the device taught by Duell et al. with a followerless packer plate as taught by Gollnick in order to simplify the device as well as shortening the space between the cab of the vehicle and the storage compartment, thereby allowing for a greater amount of refuse to be placed into the collection/ storage compartment.

Regarding claim 26 Duell et al. also teaches the packing cycles include a short distance cycle and a longer distance cycle.

Regarding claims 27 and 28 Duell further teaches that the packing cycle is based upon the front loader and that the packing cycle is dependent upon the loading device dumping the contents of a container into the hopper.

Regarding claims 44 and 45 Duell further teaches that said device only has a front loading device.

Application/Control Number: 10/611,537

Art Unit: 3652

Regarding claims 31 and 46 Duell et al. also teaches that said device is mounted on a truck chassis.

Regarding claim 47 Duell et al. further teach controlling the device with a microprocessor.

Claim 32 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Duell et al. and Gollnick as applied to claim 25 above, and further in view of Hund, Jr. et al. Duell et al. and Gollnick teach the limitations of claim 25 as above, they do not teach the vehicle as having a side loading device. Hund, Jr. et al. US 6,761,523 teaches a refuse collection vehicle (28) with a front hopper that can be loaded with a plurality of loading devices including a side loader (21). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of invention to provide the device taught by Duell et al. with a side loader as taught by Hund, Jr. et al. in order to allow the device to pick up a wider variety of trash receptacles for dumping into said vehicle, thereby increasing the usefulness of the vehicle by allowing it to pick up both residential or commercial refuse.

Claims 34,38,39 and 43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Duell et al. in view of Jones and further in view of Brandt. Regarding claims 34 Duell et al. teaches a refuse collection vehicle comprising:

a hollow refuse storage enclosure (32) with a forward refuse receiving opening and a tailgate(45);

a generally full width charging hopper (34) located forward of said storage enclosure;

a packer-ejector panel (50) disposed in said hopper and capable of moving material from said hopper to said storage enclosure as well as ejecting material through said tail gate;

- a loading handling device for depositing refuse into said hopper;
- a control system for controlling the packer plate in relation to the front loading device;

wherein the control system allows for a variety of packer stroke cycles and the packer plate always returns to its home position prior to the handling device dumping refuse into said hopper. Duell et al. do not teach the loader as being a side type loader.

Jones et al. US 5,360,310 teaches a refuse collection vehicle with a side loader comprising :

- a followerless compaction plate;
- a side loader (24) that fits within the confines of the truck body when stowed; said loader comprising:

an arm (106) with a converging grabber(38,40);

a laterally extending telescopic device (60) for extending and retracting said arm;

an articulated linkage (94a,94b) enabling a container to be held upright until in the proper dumping position. Jones et al. does not teach the side loader as fitting within the confines of the truck body.

Brandt US 5,967,731 teaches a side loading refuse vehicle with a container lifting mechanism (26) that when retracted fits within the lateral confines of the vehicle. It

Art Unit: 3652

would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of invention to provide the device taught by Duell et al. with a side loader as taught by Jones et al. and Brandt in order to allow the device to pick up a refuse container from a position that is somewhat removed from the vehicle, therefore allowing the device to access and dump containers that would not be accessible by a conventional loader.

Regarding claim 38 Duell et al. also discloses the packing cycles include a short distance cycle and a longer distance cycle.

Regarding claim 39 Duell et al. further discloses that the packing cycle is based upon the loader and that the packing cycle is dependent upon the loading device dumping the contents of a container into the hopper.

Regarding claim 43 Duell et al. also teaches the device as having a front loader and being mounted on a truck chassis.

Response to Amendment

The amendments to the claims and specification filed on October 24, 2005 have been entered into the record.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 35,36,48, and 53-57 are allowed.

Claims 58 and 49 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Claim 48,49,55 and 58 have limitations dealing with the linkage members and rotary actuator on the side loading mechanism that are not taught or suggested by the closest prior art of Hund, Jr. et al.

Application/Control Number: 10/611,537

Art Unit: 3652

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are

moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

Page 7

examiner should be directed to Charles A. Fox whose telephone number is 571-272-

6923. The examiner can normally be reached between 7:00-4:00 Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Eileen D. Lillis can be reached at 571-272-6928. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Charles A. Fox

Examiner

Art Unit 3652